

From: steven_pedigo
To: mark.g.vanhaverbeke@uscg.mil
Cc: Sam_Coleman/R6/USEPA/US@EPA; james.e.hanzalik@uscg.mil; meredith.l.austin@uscg.mil; davidfakouri@gmail.com; Kevin Barteir
Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
Date: 06/10/2010 01:22 PM

Dear Mark VanHavenbeke,

You might alert the RRT that open water mesocosm and beach mesocosm tests were developed in 1992 with what were the top EPA scientists, as well as 50 of the top scientist from around the US. OSE II has already passed those tests as well. It seems the RRT has spent 11 days trying to decide what to do while things just get worse. OSE II has already passed all the hoops and hurdles, and now new ones are being developed. We are having a hard time understanding why, the RRT will not accept valid data, and absolute observational evidence, with so much damage that is occurring to the US Natural resources, that can be absolutely avoided or limited by OSE II. We are trying to help the people of the Gulf Coast, the RRT could really help their fellow citizens in peril with the approval of OSE II the worlds most experienced first response bioremediation product.

Sincerely,
Steven Pedigo

> Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
> Date: Thu, 10 Jun 2010 10:56:49 -0400
> From: Mark.G.VanHaverbeke@uscg.mil
> To: stevenosei@msn.com
> CC: James.E.Hanzalik@uscg.mil; Meredith.L.Austin@uscg.mil; coleman.sam@epa.gov
>
> Mr. Pedigo: RRT6 is still developing small scale testing guidelines. I don't have a timeline for completion nor have I been part of any discussion regarding what products may be tested. I understand from your previous e-mail (below) that your product has been tested and used before, However, products considered for use in this response may still be required to demonstrate their performance on this oil.
>
> R/Mark
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: stevenosei@msn.com [mailto:stevenosei@msn.com]
> Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 11:17 PM
> To: VanHaverbeke, Mark
> Cc: Hanzalik, James CAPT; Austin, Meredith CAPT; coleman.sam@epa.gov
> Subject: FW: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
>
> Dear Mark VanHavenbeke,
> It has been sometime since you have communicated anything. Would you give me a status report as to where things are. Please respond when you get a chance.
> Sincerely,
> Steven Pedigo
>
> PS I have developed a manufacturing schedule, and we can produce enough OSE II to initially handle the amount of oil being released. In a short time every five days we will supply enough product to reduce the total volume of the already spilled oil by 500,000 gallons, and after 4 to 5 weeks we will be able to reduce the total volume already spilled by 1,500,000 gallons every five days. Our capabilities are in place and ready to move forward we need approval as soon as possible. As stated earlier, OSE II is a tried and trued product.
>
>
> _____
>
> From: stevenosei@msn.com
> To: mark.g.vanhaverbeke@uscg.mil
> CC: james.e.hanzalik@uscg.mil; meredith.l.austin@uscg.mil; coleman.sam@epa.gov;



9550948

davidfakouri@gmail.com; kevin@ind-tek.us

> Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION

> Date: Tue, 1 Jun 2010 14:24:04 -0500

>

> Dear Mark VanHavenbeke,

> I wanted to let you and your colleagues know that OSE II has already passed a go no go type protocol with RRT 6, since they utilized OSE II on a two and a half mile creek water spill, contaminating both sides of its shoreline. The shoreline consisted of rocky areas, and soil areas. OSE II absolutely cleaned up this US navigable water spill with test results to prove it, in which the EPA as well as the OSEI Corporation both have copies of. The pictures are contained in our picture gallery, on our web site, under the Osage Indian Reservation EPA Clean up. See also the Texaco crude oil clean up in Electra Texas, where there was 125 barrels of crude oil spilled on a closed 3 acre pond, with marsh grass, and estuary like conditions, as well as sand, grass, and soil shoreline areas.

> This information should allow you to access the use of OSE II immediately, since you have already performed the go/use of OSE II on a clean up. I suggest that you decide on how much oil or shoreline you want cleaned up in the scenarios I mentioned in the previous email. I will then get the required amount of OSE II staged and readied for application. We will video tape the application and perform some sampling which is very difficult to do in the environment. You have the end point test (fate test) already established, and in your hands from the EPA NCP listing test, which we had rerun last summer at LSU University. We have numerous toxicity tests in our technical package some of which were run by EPA themselves, and some by other countries. If this is not enough I can get you (9) other toxicity tests performed on OSE II by Hap Prichard of the US EPA, performed at the EPA Gulf Breeze Lab in Florida. This should prove to the RRT 6 there is no down side from using OSE II, and based on all the EPA's efficacy tests, as well as all the efficacy tests we have developed by third party clean ups; some of which were performed by the US military, is additional proof of OSE II's efficacy to remediate oil and refined products on salt water, fresh water, soil, and groundwater. So the fate of the oil is well established when OSE II is applied to hydrocarbons.

> I have mentioned that my recently deceased partner Admiral Lively drank some OSE II in 1992 during the Mega Borg spill on T.V. in Houston Texas. This is proof that OSE II will have no adverse affect on responders. You mentioned that responders became sick from the hand soap they used which was not diluted enough, addressing the media accounts that the dispersants are making responders sick. You should utilize OSE II as a hand cleaner, and then collect the effluent, in a drum, add a little air for a few days, and you can then pour the non potable cleaned water back in the ocean. OSE II will not cause any illness, or adverse health conditions to responders as your soap seemed to have, as you stated.

> The OSEI Corporation web site at www.osei.us has an opening showing the San Diego Bay. This is where Steve Fry of the U.S. Navy fuel farm used OSE II for oil, and fuel spill clean ups for 3 and a half years successfully with no adverse reactions to the whales and dolphins that were constantly swimming around. Nick Nichols of the EPA oil program, Mr. Robinson of the EPA Region 9, the Coast Guard, and a couple of other EPA officials as well as the California state RRT 9 representative, set in on a meeting in San Diego where Steve Fry of the Navy stated he had used OSE II for over 3 and a half years on a large number of spills with no adverse reactions, and had reduced the Navy's clean up cost 90% with the use of OSE II. The EPA was concerned at the time they were not sure of the fate and persistence of the fuel, and oil clean ups with the use of OSE II. Since that time I have rerun the Bioremediation NCP test with a substantiated end point of CO2 and water, so that concern has been alleviated. So you have all these EPA officials that are aware of the extended use of OSE II on US Navigable waters, if you need more verification. I can also provide you with videos from other countries of OSE II being applied to ports and harbors as their means to safely and affectively keep these areas free of hydrocarbon build up. I also showed you a Coast Guard spill response manuel from another country, where OSE II is the approved first response for spills in that country. In fact OSE II is approved as a first response clean up product in numerous countries. I can send you more first hand accounts of use on ocean water from the OSEI Corporation distributors, as well as the US Navy and other military branches if needed. Videos from other countries showing oil and fuel spill clean ups on ocean water will soon be on our web site, under videos as well. You can go to our videos, and see the recent news cast of OSE II being used on a freshly poured spill by a Parish President, with Louisiana DEQ and other state officials, numerous fisherman, and ABC news witnessing and filming the demonstration, to validate a go use of OSE II. Since you have the toxicity date, and the fate data in your possession, this should help you to decide to help the environment immediately.

> What standards were the dispersants that are currently being utilized held to to decide a go/no go use. I know of no fate and persistent/ end point tests. The toxicity tests show the two dispersants to be far more toxic than OSE II. Their storage containers and use procedures show them to be potentially

hazardous, compared to OSE II being class DOT 60 non hazardous. So if you do a comparison between the two types of responses, OSE II seems superior in the fact there is a substantiated desired end point of CO2 and water; OSE II is far less toxic, and OSE II is safer for responders, and OSE II has more experience then dispersants. OSE II can meet a higher standard of response for the remediation of a spill than the current dispersants being utilized.

> There seemingly is no reason not to access OSE II today. Each day brings more environmental disaster to the coast. We implore you to utilize the vast amount of corroborating evidence to utilize OSE II today. Please respond as soon as possible.

> Sincerely,

> Steven Pedigo

> Chairman/CEO OSEI Corporation

>

> PS OSE II should be on a level playing field (standards) as dispersants.

>

>

> > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION

> > Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 23:30:37 -0400

> > From: Mark.G.VanHaverbeke@uscg.mil

> > To: stevenosei@msn.com

> > CC: James.E.Hanzalik@uscg.mil; Meredith.L.Austin@uscg.mil

> >

> > Steven: I once again discussed Oil Spill Eater II with federal and state advisors, ensuring they understood its capabilities and benefits as a primary response measure. We are discussing how we might frame a reliable, controlled test of various products to help make informed go/no go recommendations based on measured performance and impact. RRT6 approval will be required.

> >

> > Incidentally, the quotation at the beginning of your recent Grand Isle video is incomplete. Any product that will get into the public water, whether from public or private property, must be part of the approved response. Private property owners may not spray Oil Spill Eater II on shoreline subject to tidal washing.

> >

> > R/Mark

> >

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: stevenosei@msn.com [mailto:stevenosei@msn.com]

> > Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 5:54 PM

> > To: VanHaverbeke, Mark

> > Cc: Hanzalik, James CAPT; Austin, Meredith CAPT

> > Subject: FW: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION

> >

> > Dear Mark VanHaverbeke,

> > I have seen numerous reports on a reported large plumes setting under water. I have dealt with plumes under water, as well as with plumes in underground water. OSE II is capable of handling this plume if needed. We have already proved to ourselves that we can remediate oil that has had dispersant applied to it. Once OSE II has proven itself to the RRT in the scenarios I sent you, we would then move on to the other areas which include this plume if requested. I just wanted to make you aware that OSE II has experience with plumes. Underground plumes are actually more difficult to address. I will await your next response.

> > Sincerely,

> > Steven Pedigo

> > Chairman/CEO OSEI Corporation

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > From: stevenosei@msn.com

> > To: mark.g.vanhaverbeke@uscg.mil

> > CC: kevin@ind-tek.us; davidfakouri@gmail.com

> > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION

> > Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 15:46:05 -0500

> >
> > Dear Mark VanHaverbeke,
> > I would like to be a part of the what/why/how test protocol, or at least be able to put in some input before it is completed. After all no one in the world has the experience with OSE II that I have. I would like to suggest 4 areas initially. Shoreline with rocks or concrete or sand, marsh area with oil attached to the marsh grass, estuary area with mud flats that once the tide comes in it brings in oil, and then when the tide goes out the oil is left behind. On sheen in the area, I saw at Grand Isle. Once the first 4 areas proves OSE II's efficacy; then application to oil that can be seen coming in 500 yards or further out, to show how that application of OSE II to oil, will breakdown oil, before it gets to the more sensitive areas. This area of application can save the environment, as well as lively hoods and untold amounts of money.
> > The RRT needs to decide how big of areas, or how much oil they want to apply OSE II to, in order for us to design the application protocol. I personally appreciate your response, and I hope that the RRT is interested in adding OSE II's capabilities to the BP Gulf oil spill response. We are capable of being good team players!
> > Sincerely,
> > Steven Pedigo
> > Chairman/CEO OSEI Corporation
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
> > Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 14:15:12 -0400
> > From: Mark.G.VanHaverbeke@uscg.mil
> > To: stevenosei@msn.com
> > CC: davidfakouri@gmail.com; kevin@ind-tek.us
> >
> > Very short answer is, no need to stay ATT. Requesting alternate technology policy from RRT6. Need to develop what/why/how test piece. R/mark
> >
> > Sent with Good (www.good.com)
> >
> >
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: steven pedigo [mailto:stevenosei@msn.com]
> > Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 12:04 PM Eastern Standard Time
> > To: VanHaverbeke, Mark
> > Cc: davidfakouri@gmail.com; Kevin Barteir
> > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
> >
> >
> > Dear Mark VanHavenbeke, I understand completely. I am contemplating leaving New Orleans tonight. I was inquiring as to what the next step would be to see if I would need to stay in New Orleans or not. Please advise.Steven Pedigo
> >
> > > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
> > > Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 10:58:13 -0400
> > > From: Mark.G.VanHaverbeke@uscg.mil
> > > To: stevenosei@msn.com
> > > CC: davidfakouri@gmail.com
> > >
> > > Steve: Sorry I missed your call. I have been re-socializing your product message. I have a 1000 teleconference and 1100 meeting, after which I will call you. R/Mark
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: stevenosei@msn.com [mailto:stevenosei@msn.com]
> > > Sent: Monday, May 31, 2010 8:01 AM
> > > To: VanHaverbeke, Mark
> > > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION

> > >

> > > Dear Mark VanHaverbeke,

> > > Thank you for your reply. I did try to call this morning but there was no answer at the number in your reply. I can imagine you are busy. OSE II is the response that will allow BP to change the perception by all that they are not doing enough. This will allow the President, the Governor, the Louisiana State Senators, and the RRT to show they are trying to address the spill by allowing a new tool in the response, that will show them results that appear instantaneously, in some cases, when we all will know bioremediation takes some time. The RRT can move spill response forward, with OSE II, and when OSE II changes the public's perception in regards to this response, it will allow BP ultimately what it, and the other oil companies want, expanded drilling.

> > > When I was at Grand Isle this past Saturday, I saw soft shell crabs dying, and shrimp catches that were being thrown back. Part of the problem is the booms do not do a good job of stopping the oil, it just slows the oil down. So I saw come into an area with the tide, and then when the tide went out some of the oil was reversing direction, and then when the tide changed again the oil plus new oil started its march back into the estuary, where it was building up. The people were there upset that the suction trucks were doing nothing!, these trucks were there a week ago when I was at Grand Isle the first time, and these trucks had never moved. The RRT can allow BP to apply OSE II once every three to four days in each area as the oil comes in to get rid of the sheen, and any oil on shore in this area. As for the Marshes and estuaries, once OSE II is applied you merely have responders check the area once a day and apply OSE II to any new oil and this will protect the marshes and estuaries. The OSEI Corporation can engineer a response in the most sensitive areas initially, and then move to more open shorelines, and ultimately between the barrier islands, and then further out so the oil will be addressed, before it hits land and then when the people do not see oil coming in it will give them some relief in regards to the spill response by all.

> > > We can help, You just have to add OSE II to the response. Please let me know what the next step, or steps are, in getting actually utilized for this spill. My direct email is stevenosei@msn.com

> > > Sincerely,

> > > Steven Pedigo

> > >

> > >

> > > _____

> > >

> > > From: davidfakouri@gmail.com

> > > To: stevenosei@msn.com

> > > Subject: Fwd: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION

> > > Date: Mon, 31 May 2010 07:23:18 -0500

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > > David Fakouri

> > >

> > > Fakouri & Associates

> > > Strategic Consulting Group

> > > Fakouri Mortgage

> > > La Economic Foundation

> > > BCCI. FCCI

> > >

> > > (225) 927-6515 - office

> > > (225) 927-6523 - fax

> > > (225) 921-9735 - cell

> > > Sent from I phone

> > >

> > > dfakouri@scgllc.org

> > > dfakouri@fakourimortgage.com

> > > dfakouri@louisianacollections.com

> > > dfakouri@laeconomicfoundation.com

> > >

> > >

> > > Begin forwarded message:

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From: "VanHaverbeke, Mark" <Mark.G.VanHaverbeke@uscg.mil>
> > > Date: May 31, 2010 7:15:05 AM CDT
> > > To: <stevenosei@msn.com>
> > > Cc: <davidfakouri@gmail.com>, "Kevin Barteir" <kevin@ind-tek.us>
> > > Subject: RE: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Mr. Pedigo: I'll reconnect with the primary advisors and ensure they understand the first response capabilities of your product as your have represented them.
> > >
> > > I waited until 9 PM by the phone at which David called me at 7:30 PM. The correct number is 713 422 4553.
> > >
> > > R/Mark
> > >
> > > Mark VanHaverbeke
> > > USCG R&D Center
> > > Deepwater Horizon Response
> > > Houma ICP
> > > Environmental Unit
> > >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: stevenosei@msn.com [mailto:stevenosei@msn.com]
> > > Sent: Sunday, May 30, 2010 9:03 PM
> > > To: VanHaverbeke, Mark
> > > Cc: davidfakouri@gmail.com; Kevin Barteir
> > > Subject: OSE II from THE OSEI CORPORATION
> > >
> > > Dear Mark VanHaverbeke,
> > > My associate David Fakouri, just discussed some issues with OSE II. I tried to call you and there was no answer so I thought it might be easier to email you. David stated that you mentioned OSE II is a finishing product. On our web site we showed you a video of OSE II cleaning up fairly fresh oil that has reached just reached the shoreline. What you saw was video, and pictorial evidence of which we have numerous witnesses of, OSE II being applied to the oil coated shoreline rocks when the tide was out. The home owner watched each day as OSE II was able to lift the oil off the rocks and start remediating the oil. When Kevin went back OSE II had remediating the oil. You know the end point of utilizing OSE II through the updated EPA NCP test of last summer by LSU, and through numerous other efficacy tests of which OSE II was used as a first and ONLY response tool.
> > > We also have numerous toxicity tests with numerous species some performed by the EPA themselves, on salt water and fresh water species which verifies OSE II is virtually non toxic. As I pointed out we also have a swirling flask test that EPA forced us to run since we have Bio Surfactants in OSE II which proves we do not sink oil. We also have trace elements test which show there are no metals or chlorinated hydrocarbons in OSE II.
> > > We have published instructions on use and amounts of OSE II required for various situations. We have a BOD analysis by a PHD professor that shows this would not be a problem especially in light of the oil that would already be present.
> > > We have a large catalogue of pictures depicting numerous clean ups in which OSE II was the first and only response utilized.
> > > OSE II unlike all other bioremediation products is a first response tool. OSE II can be used on fresh oil and has been over 14000 times. There is only one or two situations in which OSE II was used as a finishing up response.
> > > Most bioremediation products are Bacteria or Fertilizer, and Both are inherently FLAWED. Fertilizers want to supply the nutrients to grow indigenous bacteria , and then this bacteria produces bio surfactants, and enzymes to attack the molecular structure of the oil, and then when the oil is detoxified the bacteria can then utilize it as a food source. There is also a problem with dilution, getting enough nutrients involved to grow bacteria. This takes an enormous amount of time up to years. The problem is

the oil is so toxic the bacteria can not grow for over 10 years or more depending on the environmental variables. If the bacteria proximal to the spill grow, then it takes years and years to produce enough bio surfactants and enzymes to have any affect on the oils molecular structure. Al Venosa of the EPA tried bacteria in numerous tests, and what he discovered was that when he had to put large amounts of fertilizer on a spill, it created such large amounts of Nitrogen in one area that they had a huge fish kill in the Delaware river. The fertilizer became more toxic and lethal than the oil.

> > > I would like to clarify something. You clean up spills to reduce the toxicity to the environment so that living organisms can survive and reproduce. Bacteria, bacteria products are already grown so they are one step seemingly ahead of fertilizer, but minimally. This is where the EPA and some have come up with the misnomer for OSE II as a finishing up product. You cannot put a live bacteria culture on a fresh toxic oil, it will merely KILL it. So to use bacteria you need to apply them once the oil is detoxified enough to survive. There is also the problem of non indigenous bacteria being able to be placed in a foreign environment and be able to acclimate which takes time. The temperature, available nutrients, and the indigenous bacteria all affect the non indigenous bacteria being able to do anything. The EPA put out a fact sheet in regards to bacteria it is in our technical package, and all the tests they performed showed that bacteria do not fare well in the environment.

> > > So just because OSE II is in the bioremediation category understand OSE II is a specialized bioremediation product. OSE II solves the problem of attacking the molecular structure of the hydrocarbon with the bio surfactants of which I have developed a specialized process for extracting bio surfactants from single celled organisms, which is part of our manufacturing process. These biosurfactants attack the molecular structure similar to dispersants but FAR more effectively. The hydrocarbon structure pathways opened through a couple of different means which are proprietary. This how ever reduces the adhesion properties, fire hazard, smell, causes the oil to float, limiting secondary areas from being contaminated (this is where OSE II differs greatly from dispersants), and causes the oil to be emulsified, and then solubilized, at which point the oil is detoxified enough to be used as a food source. At this point the start of the environment being protected. OSE II also contains numerous enzymes that act as catalyst to develop protein binding sites as pathways for the bacteria to be utilized as a food source. dispersants do not have catalytic enzymes, to speed up the remediation process. OSE II also has a nutrient system to rapidly colonize indigenous bacteria (so no foreign bacteria are being introduced into an eco system), and when the indigenous bacteria run out of OSE II nutrients they convert over to the detoxified hydrocarbons and utilize the hydrocarbons through the catalytic enzymes as a food source. You know if you looked at the EPA NCP listing of OSE II that the substantiated end point is CO2 and water.

> > > The things outlined here show you why OSE II is a first and only response tool needed, and why the other bioremediation category products are so inferior. I also believe OSE II is superior to dispersants due to OSE II's ability that no other product in the world contains.

> > > OSE II is the ONLY first and only response tool in the oil spill clean up world that offers a complete substantiated end point. So please do not pigeon hole OSE II, it would be unfair to the OSEI Corporation and more importantly it would be unfair to the environment. Our 21 years of tests, experience, and pictures/videos prove OSE II is the best first and only response tool in the oil spill clean up world.

> > > I agree that to start with OSE II, it should be used on shorelines, and in marshes and estuaries, and as I get manufacturing up quickly a week or two, then start working in the water where there are patches of floating oil, or oil just under the surface before it comes a shore, and eventually to the rig unless BP just has to use dispersants. OSE II can replace dispersants and has in numerous countries, and with this spill will do so in more and more countries; however we want to be team players.

> >

> > > I was on Grand Isle Saturday and there are numerous crabs swimming up to the surface where they then expire. OSE II can absolutely eradicate this problem and help save untold species which in turn will help save untold lively hoods. It is in your hands to access OSE II now in order to create an atmosphere, of showing and proving to the public there is a means to address oil spills safely, and responsibly. You can use OSE II anywhere!

> > > If you have any questions please call.

> > > Sincerely,

> > > Steven Pedigo

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > > The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. Get busy.

<<http://www.windowslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?>

tile=multicalendar&ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_5>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > _____
> > >
> > > Hotmail has tools for the New Busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. Learn more.
<http://www.windowsslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_1>
> >
> > _____
> > Hotmail is redefining busy with tools for the New Busy. Get more from your inbox.
> > http://www.windowsslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_2
> >
> >
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Hotmail is redefining busy with tools for the New Busy. Get more from your inbox. See how.
<http://www.windowsslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_2>
> >
> > _____
> >
> > Hotmail has tools for the New Busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. Learn more.
<http://www.windowsslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_1>
>
>
> _____
>
> The New Busy is not the old busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. Get started.
<http://www.windowsslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_3>
>
> _____
>
> The New Busy is not the old busy. Search, chat and e-mail from your inbox. Get started.
<http://www.windowsslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM_HMP:042010_3>

The New Busy think 9 to 5 is a cute idea. Combine multiple calendars with Hotmail. [Get busy.](#)